arXiv:1601.01809v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 8 Jan 2016

Detect the orbital distribution of the magnetic fluctuation in the Iron-based superconductors with resonant inelastic X-ray scattering spectroscopy

Da-Wei Yao and Tao Li
Department of Physics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P.R.China
(Dated: January 11, 2016)

Detecting the orbital distribution of the magnetic fluctuation of the Iron-based superconductors is the key to understand the mechanism for the magnetism,superconductivity and electronic nematicity in these multi-orbital systems. In this work, we propose that the resonant inelastic X-ray scattering(RIXS) technique can be used to probe selectively the magnetic fluctuation on different Fe $3d$ orbitals. In particular, the magnetic fluctuation on the three t_{2g} orbitals, namely $3d_{xz}$, $3d_{yz}$ and $3d_{xy}$, can be probed separately by arranging properly the polarization of the incident and outgoing photons. Such orbital-resolved information on the magnetic fluctuation is invaluable for the study of the orbital-selective manifestation of electron correlation effect and the entanglement between the orbital and the magnetic degree of freedom in the electronic nematic phase of the iron-based superconductors.

PACS numbers:

The multi-orbital nature of the Iron-based superconductors is the most important new feature in these new family of unconventional superconductors. Evidences accumulated from a broad range of studies indicate that the orbital degree of freedom is playing an important role in the physical processes related to the magnetism, superconductivity, and the electronic nematicity of the system. For example, the orbital character of the electron on the Fermi surface may drastically change the nesting condition for itinerant magnetism and may result in a gapless SDW phase[1,2]. The pairing potential for BCS superconductivity in the system is also subjected to the modulation of the orbital charater of the electronic state on the Fermi surface[3]. More recently, it is found that the orbital ordering is intimately related to the nematicity in the magnetic correlation of the system[4–8]. Such strong entanglement between the orbital and the magnetic degree of freedom may hold the key for the origin of the electronic nematicity in the system[9,10].

At the same time, it has long been debated if an itinerant or a local scenario is more appropriate for the description of the magnetism of the Iron-based superconductors[11–15]. Unlike its counterpart in the cuprate superconductors, the magnetism in the Iron-based superconductors exhibits strong material dependence and is very susceptible to the change of external conditions. Such a variability of magnetism is hard to understand for a single band system, since the energy scale for magnetic correlation is usually the dominating energy scale of the system, but may be consistent with a scenario involving both itinerant and local components[12]. From the early days of the field, it had been anticipated by some authors that the electron correlation on different Fe $3d$ orbitals may be different[14,15]. Electrons on some orbitals may behave more like localized moment than other electrons. Indeed, recent ARPES measurements have already find large difference in mass renormalization in different $3d$ orbitals in some systems[13]. RIXS measurements also found evidence for dispersive magnon mode in systems deep inside the paramagnetic phase[16], which is a strong signature for local moment behavior. It is natural to expect the electron in the narrowest band to contribute the most to such local magnetic fluctuation.

With these understandings in mind, it is quite embarrassing to find there is almost no efficient way to probe the orbital character of the magnetic fluctuation in the Iron-based superconductors. In principle, the orbital character of the magnetic fluctuation can be inferred from the atomic form factor in an inelastic neutron scattering(INS) measurement. However, such a distinction is small since the atomic form factor only depends on the density distribution of the electron and fails to incorporate the difference in the wave functions of the $3d$ orbitals. It is the purpose of this paper to propose an efficient way to measure the orbital distribution of the magnetic fluctuation in the Iron-based superconductors. We propose that the resonant inelastic X-ray scattering technique can be used to probe the orbital character of the magnetic fluctuation. In such a probe, it is the difference in the wave functions of the $3d$ orbitals, rather than difference in the density distribution, is playing the key role. According to our proposal, we can selectively couple to the magnetic fluctuation on a give $3d$ orbital by properly arranging the polarization of the incident and outgoing photon.The information provided by such measurement will be invaluable for us to study the interplay between the orbital and magnetic degree of freedom in the system. It can also provide key information on the orbital-selective manifestation of the electron correlation effect in the Iron-based superconductors.

The RIXS spectroscopy is a two-step process(here we will only consider the direct RIXS process) to probe the electronic excitation in the valence shell using an atomic resonance between a core level and the valence shell as an intermediate step[17]. The incident photon first excites a core electron into the valence shell near an atomic resonance, leaving a core hole which is later annihilated by another valence electron accompanied by an emitted

photon. As the resonance energy can be quite high, the momentum transfer by the scattered photon can be quite substantial. RIXS can thus be used to probe excitation in a large portion of the Brillouin zone. At the same time, as a result of the strong spin-orbital coupling in the core level, RIXS can also be used to probe the spin dynamics of the valence electron . In this paper, we will focus on the Fe L_3 edge resonance which involves the atomic transition between the Fe $2p^{\frac{3}{2}}$ core level and the Fe $3d$ orbital. Such a resonance has already been used to probe the local magnetic fluctuation in the heavily doped iron-based superconductors[16].

Using the second order perturbation theory, the transition amplitude between the valence states induced by the scattered photon can be expressed in the form[17]

$$F_{f,g} = \langle f|\mathcal{D}^\dagger_{out} G(z) \mathcal{D}_{in}|g\rangle. \quad (1)$$

Here $|g\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ are the initial and final state of the system. $G(z) = \sum_n \frac{|n\rangle\langle n|}{z-E_n}$ is the propagator for the intermediated state after the first photon excitation. $|n\rangle$ is the intermediate state with a core hole, $z = E_g + \hbar\omega + i\Gamma_n$. E_g and E_n are the energies of the ground state and the intermediate state, Γ_n is the inverse life time of the intermediate state $|n\rangle$ caused by other nonradiatvie atomic processes. $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of the incident photon. $\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{im\omega}\sum_i e^{i\mathrm{k}\cdot\mathrm{r}_i}\epsilon\cdot\mathrm{p}_i$ is the transition operator of the nonmagnetic coupling between the photon and the electron. k is the wave vector of the incident or outgoing photon. Here ϵ is the polarization vector of the incident or outgoing photon. r_i and p_i are the position and momentum operator of the i-th electron.

Since the radius of the Fe $3d$ orbital is much smaller than the distance between neighboring Fe ions in the Iron-based superconductors, the dipole approximation is applicable and we can approximate the plane wave factor $e^{i\mathrm{k}\cdot\mathrm{r}_i}$ in the transition operator $\mathcal{D}$ by a constant $e^{i\mathrm{k}\cdot\mathrm{R}_i}$[17], in which R_i is the position of the atomic site that the i-th electron resides. With such an approximation, one can show that the RIXS transition amplitude becomes

$$F_{f,g} = \langle f|(\epsilon_{out}\cdot\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{k}_{out}})G(z)(\epsilon_{in}\cdot\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}_{in}})|g\rangle, \quad (2)$$

in which $\mathrm{D}_\mathrm{k} = \sum_i e^{i\mathrm{k}\cdot\mathrm{R}_i}\mathrm{r}_i$ is the dipole operator at wave vector k. In the Fe L_3 edge resonance, the intermediate state always involves a core hole in the $2p^{\frac{3}{2}}$ multiplet and we will treat the denominator in $G(z)$, $z - E_n$, as a constant. If we neglect the interaction effect in the intermediate state, we can derive an effective transition operator for the valence electrons by summing over the intermediate core hole states, which takes the form of

$$\mathrm{T} = \sum_i e^{i\mathrm{q}\cdot\mathrm{R}_i} T_i. \quad (3)$$

Here $\mathrm{q} = \mathrm{k}_{in} - \mathrm{k}_{out}$ is the momentum transfer from the scattered photon. The operator T_i is given by

$$T_i = \sum_{m_j} r_{in}|3/2, m_j\rangle\langle 3/2, m_j|r_{out}, \quad (4)$$

in which $r_{in(out)} = \epsilon_{in(out)}\cdot\mathrm{r}$. Here $|3/2, m_j\rangle$ is the m_j-th component of the $2p^{\frac{3}{2}}$ multiplet and is given by $|3/2, m_j\rangle = \sum_{m,m_s} C_{3/2,m_j,m,m_s}|m, m_s\rangle$, in which $C_{3/2,m_j,m,m_s}$ is the C-G coefficient. $m(=0,\pm1)$ and $m_s(=\uparrow,\downarrow)$ denote the eigenvalues of the z-component of the orbital and spin angular momentum(l_z and s_z) for the $2p$ core electron.

T_i can be interpreted as a single particle operator acting on the valence electron state on the i-th Fe site. As a result of the spin-orbital coupling in the core level, T_i can induce both spin conserving and spin flip transitions. Completing the summation over m_j, we find T_i can be written as the following second quantized form

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= \sum_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} n_{\mu,\nu} c^\dagger_{i,\mu,\sigma} c_{i,\nu,\sigma} \\ &+ \sum_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} s^z_{\mu,\nu}\sigma c^\dagger_{i,\mu,\sigma} c_{i,\nu,\sigma} \\ &+ \sum_{\mu,\nu} s^+_{\mu,\nu} c^\dagger_{i,\mu,\uparrow} c_{i,\nu,\downarrow} \\ &+ \sum_{\mu,\nu} s^-_{\mu,\nu} c^\dagger_{i,\mu,\downarrow} c_{i,\nu,\uparrow} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here $\mu,\nu = 1,..,5$ are the indices of the five $3d$ orbitals. We will use the following convention for numbering the $3d$ orbitals, namely $|1\rangle = |xz\rangle$, $|2\rangle = |yz\rangle$, $|3\rangle = |xy\rangle$, $|4\rangle = |3z^2 - r^2\rangle$,and $|5\rangle = |x^2 - y^2\rangle$. $\sigma = \uparrow,\downarrow$ is the spin of the valence electron. $c_{i,\mu,\sigma}$ is the annihilation operator for an spin-σ electron on the μ-th orbital of site i. In the above equation, the matrix elements are given by

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{2}{3}\sum_m \langle\mu|r_{in}|m\rangle\langle m|r_{out}|\nu\rangle \\ s^z_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{1}{3}\sum_m m\langle\mu|r_{in}|m\rangle\langle m|r_{out}|\nu\rangle \\ s^+_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{1}{3}\sum_m A_m\langle\mu|r_{in}|m\rangle\langle m+1|r_{out}|\nu\rangle \\ s^-_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{1}{3}\sum_m A_m\langle\mu|r_{in}|m+1\rangle\langle m|r_{out}|\nu\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

in which $|m\rangle$ is eigenstate of the l_z with eigenvalue m, $A_m = \sqrt{2 - m(m+1)}$.

In this study, we will focus on the spin excitations induced by the photon scattering. To simplify the analysis of the selection rules in the tetragonal environment, we expand the $2p$ core level $|m\rangle$ in terms of their real components that have the p_x, p_y and p_z character, namely $|x\rangle$, $|y\rangle$ and $|z\rangle$, as

$$\begin{aligned} |+1\rangle &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x\rangle + i|y\rangle) \\ |0\rangle &= |z\rangle \\ |-1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x\rangle - i|y\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

One then find that the matrix element for the spin-dependent transitions become

$$\begin{aligned} s^z_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{i}{3}\langle\mu|r_{in}|x\rangle\langle y|r_{out}|\nu\rangle \\ &- \frac{i}{3}\langle\mu|r_{in}|y\rangle\langle x|r_{out}|\nu\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In the same way, one find that

$$\begin{aligned} s^x_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{i}{3}\langle\mu|r_{in}|y\rangle\langle z|r_{out}|\nu\rangle \\ &- \frac{i}{3}\langle\mu|r_{in}|z\rangle\langle y|r_{out}|\nu\rangle \\ s^y_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{i}{3}\langle\mu|r_{in}|z\rangle\langle x|r_{out}|\nu\rangle \\ &- \frac{i}{3}\langle\mu|r_{in}|x\rangle\langle z|r_{out}|\nu\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here $s^x_{\mu,\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(s^+_{\mu,\nu} + s^-_{\mu,\nu})$, $s^x_{\mu,\nu} = \frac{1}{2i}(s^+_{\mu,\nu} - s^-_{\mu,\nu})$.

With the above equations, we can selectively excite the magnetic fluctuation in the orbital space of the $3d$ electrons. Now we apply them to the Iron-based superconductors. We will focus on the collective spin fluctuation evolved from the spin wave excitation of the ordered state and assume they have similar orbital characters. In a multi-orbital system, the magnetic order parameter is in general a matrix of the form[1,7] $M_{\mu,\nu} = \sum_\sigma \sigma\langle c^\dagger_{i,\mu,\sigma} c_{i,\nu,\sigma}\rangle$. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this matrix provide us the ordered moment and the orbital that they reside. In the Iron-based superconductors, the lattice symmetry around the Fe ions is broken to D_2 in the magnetic ordered state. The symmetry allowed expectation value for the magnetic order parameter $M_{\mu,\nu}$ takes the general form of

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_{3,3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{4,4} & M_{4,5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{4,5} & M_{5,5} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Thus the magnetic fluctuation on the t_{2g} and the e_g orbital are decoupled at low energy. It has been found that in the Iron-based superconductors, the two e_g orbital ($3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $3d_{x^2-y^2}$) have the least correlation effect among the five $3d$ orbitals and contribute little to the collective spin fluctuation at low energy[5,14]. We thus neglect the e_g orbitals in the following discussion and concentrate on the magnetic fluctuation in the t_{2g} subspace.

The three $2p$ orbitals $|x\rangle$,$|y\rangle$ and $|z\rangle$ and the three components of the position vector x,y and z each forms a distinct one dimensional representation of the D_2 group. The same is true for the three t_{2g} orbital $|xz\rangle$,$|yz\rangle$ and $|xy\rangle$. With these symmetry properties, it is easy to show that to excite the magnetic fluctuation on the $|xz\rangle$ orbital, we should polarize the incident photon in the $x(z)$ -direction and the outgoing photon in the $z(x)$-direction. The spin fluctuation excited in this setup is along the y-direction and the transition probability is proportional to $|\langle xz|x|z\rangle|^2$. Using cyclic permutation between x, y and z, we can obtain the selection rules for other setups, which are summarized in table I. If we neglect the ligand effect of the Fe $3d$ orbital and approximate the three t_{2g} orbitals with the corresponding atomic orbitals, then the transition probability is the same for all three setups. The strength of the magnetic fluctuation on the three t_{2g} orbitals can then be directly compared with each other in the RIXS measurement.

orbital	r_{in}	r_{out}	spin direction	transition probability
xz	x(z)	z(x)	y	$\|\langle xz\|x\|z\rangle\|^2$
yz	y(z)	z(y)	x	$\|\langle yz\|y\|z\rangle\|^2$
xy	x(y)	y(x)	z	$\|\langle xy\|x\|y\rangle\|^2$

TABLE I: The selection rules for the magnetic transitions in the t_{2g} subspace and the corresponding polarization arrangements.

Now we discuss the application of the above results in the study of the Iron-based superconductors. From the begining of the study of the iron-based superconductors, it has been anticipated that a two-component picture with both itinerant and localized momentum may be more appropriate for the description of their magnetic behavior[11,12]. Especially, a linear temperature dependence of the uniform susceptibility is universally observed in all iron-based superconductors[18]. Such a behavior is hard to be interpreted in a picture with only itinerant electron, but may indicating the existence of near critical localized moment[11]. The fluctuation of such localized moment at short length scale should be robust against carrier doping. Indeed, recent RIXS measurements does find strong evidence for robust dispersive magnon excitation at short length scale in the heavily doped iron-based superconductors[16]. Among the five $3d$ orbitals, it is generally believed that the $3d_{xy}$ orbital is the most correlated from both theoretical and experimental studies[13,14]. It is then quite natural to expect that the robust high energy spin excitation in the heavily doped iron-based superconductors should have mainly the $3d_{xy}$ orbital character. Following our proposal, we should polarize the incident photon in the $x(y)$ and the outgoing photon in the $y(x)$ direction to detect such local magnetic fluctuation. We note that with the polarization of the photon fixed we can still rotate the wave vector of the photon in a plane perpendicular to the polarization vector. As a result, we can scan the momentum of the magnetic fluctuation continuously in the Brillouin zone.

Another possibility offered by our proposal is to study the interplay between the orbital ordering and the magnetic nematicity of the iron-based superconductors. In the presence of orbital ordering, the degeneracy between the $3d_{xz}$ and the $3d_{yz}$ orbital is lifted and the two orbitals will contribute differently to the magnetism of the system[5–8]. Since the nesting vector for magnetic excitation in the $3d_{xz}$ and $3d_{yz}$-dominant band is different(by a $\pi/2$ rotation along the z-axis), the orbital order in the

iron-based superconductors is entangled with their magnetic nematicity. In the magnetic ordered state, the orbital order can lift the degeneracy between the $(\pi, 0)$ and the $(0, \pi)$ ordering pattern by enhancing(reducing) the condensation energy of the preferred(disfavored) magnetic ordering pattern[7]. In the paramagnetic phase, the orbital ordering can induce large disproportionality in the strength of spin fluctuation at $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ through the same mechanism[8], which has been observed by recent neutron scattering measurement[19]. On the other hand, the entanglement with the magnetic nematicity can also be a driving force for the orbital ordering in the iron-based superconductors.[7] To test the validity of such a picture, we can compare the orbital character of the magnetic fluctuation at $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ in the electronic nematic phase of the iron-based superconductors. To single out the contribution from the $3d_{xz}$ and $3d_{yz}$ orbital, we should polarize the photon in the $x(z)$ and $z(x)$ direction in the first case and in the $y(z)$ and $z(y)$ direction in the second case.

In summary, we propose that RIXS can be used to detect the orbital character of magnetic fluctuations in the iron-based superconductors. We find the contribution from the three t_{2g} orbitals in the d-shell, namely $3d_{xz}$, $3d_{yz}$ and $3d_{xy}$ can be selectively probed by polarizing the incident and outgoing photons in the xz, yz and xy plane respectively. We show such orbital-specific information can be very useful in the study of the orbital-selective Mottness and the interplay between the orbital ordering and the magnetic nematicity of the iron-based superconductors. Such a technique can also be used in other transition oxides material with more than one active orbitals. In our derivation of the RIXS transition probability, we have made two key approximations. The first is the negligence of the intermediate state interaction effect. The second is the dipole approximation. We believe the qualitative feature of our conclusion will not be changed by more accurate treatment, which will be left for further investigations.

This work is supported by NSFC Grant No. 11034012 and Research Funds of Renmin University of China.

[1] K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kontani, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett.**101**, 087004 (2008).

[2] Ying Ran, Fa Wang, Hui Zhai, Ashvin Vishwanath, Dung-Hai Lee, Phys. Rev. B 79, 014505 (2009)

[3] Z. P. Yin, K. Haule and G. Kotliar, Nature Physics 10, 845-850 (2014).

[4] C-C. Lee, W-G. Yin and W. Ku, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 267001 (2009).

[5] M. Daghofer et al. Phys. Rev. B 81, 180514 (2010).

[6] A. H. Nevidomskyy, arxiv.org:1104.1747 (2011).

[7] Y.H. Su H.J. Liao and T. Li, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27 105702(2015).

[8] Y.H. Su, C. Zhang and T. Li, arXiv:1412.0210.

[9] S.-H. Baek, D. V. Efremov, J. M. Ok, J. S. Kim, Jeroen van den Brink, B. Bchner,Nature Materials, 14, 210 (2015).

[10] Huiqian Luo, Meng Wang, Chenglin Zhang, Xingye Lu, Louis-Pierre Regnault, Rui Zhang, Shiliang Li, Jiangping Hu, and Pengcheng Dai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 107006 (2013).

[11] G.M. Zhang, Y.H. Su, Z.Y. Weng, D.H. Lee, and T. Xiang, EuroPhys. Lett. **86** 37006 (2009).

[12] S.P. Kou, T. Li, and Z.Y. Weng, EuroPhys. Lett. **88** 17010 (2009).

[13] Ming Yi, Zhongkai Liu, Yan Zhang, Rong Yu, Jianxin Zhu, James Lee, Rob Moore, Felix Schmitt, Wei Li, Scott Riggs, Jiun-Haw Chu, Bing Lv, Jin Hu, Makoto Hashimoto, Sung-Kwan Mo, Zahid Hussain, Zhiqiang Mao, Ching-Wu Chu, Ian Fisher, Qimiao Si, Zhi-Xun Shen, Donghui Lu, Nat. Comm. 6, 7777 (2015).

[14] Rong Yu, Qimiao Si, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 146402 (2013).

[15] Luca de' Medici, Gianluca Giovannetti, Massimo Capone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 177001 (2014).

[16] K.-J. Zhou, Y.-B. Huang, C. Monney, X. Dai, V. N. Strocov, N.-L. Wang, Z.-G. Chen, C. Zhang, P. Dai, L. Patthey, J. van den Brink, H. Ding, and T. Schmitt, Nat Commun 4, 1470 (2013).

[17] L. J. P. Ament, M. van Veenendaal, T. P. Devereaux, J. P. Hill, and J. van den Brink Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 705 (2011).

[18] G. Wu, H. Chen, T. Wu, Y.L. Xie, Y.J. Yan, R.H. Liu, X.F. Wang, J.J. Ying and X.H. Chen, J. Phys.: Cond. Matter **20**, 422201 (2008); X.F. Wang, T. Wu, G. Wu, H. Chen, Y.L. Xie, J.J. Ying, Y.J. Yan, R.H. Liu, and X.H. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 117005 (2009);J.Q. Yan, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S.L. Bud'ko, A. Kracher, R.J. McQueeney, R.W. McCallum, T.A. Lograsso, A.I. Goldman, and P.C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 024516 (2008).

[19] Xingye Lu, J. T. Park, Rui Zhang, Huiqian Luo, Andriy H. Nevidomskyy, Qimiao Si, Pengcheng Dai, Science, 345, 6197, 657(1014).